



ELSEVIER

Journal of Geometry and Physics 41 (2002) 376–379

JOURNAL OF
GEOMETRY AND
PHYSICS

www.elsevier.com/locate/jgp

Addendum
Addendum to “Spacelike hypersurfaces with
constant higher order mean curvature in the
Minkowski space–time”[☆]

Juan A. Aledo^a, Luis J. Alías^{b,*},
J. Miguel Malacarne^c, José A. Pastor^b

^a *Departamento de Matemáticas, Universidad de Castilla-La Mancha, 02071 Albacete, Spain*

^b *Departamento de Matemáticas, Universidad de Murcia, E-30100 Espinardo, Murcia, Spain*

^c *Departamento de Matemática, Universidade Federal do Espírito Santo,
29060-900 Vitória, ES, Brazil*

Received 26 September 2001

MSC: 53C42; 53B30; 53C50

Keywords: Minkowski space–time; Spacelike hypersurfaces; Higher order mean curvature; Flux formula; Hyperbolic caps

1. Introduction

In [1], the second and third authors developed a series of general integral formulas for compact spacelike hypersurfaces with hyperplanar boundary in the $(n + 1)$ -dimensional Minkowski space–time \mathbb{L}^{n+1} . As an application of those integral formulas, they proved that hyperplanar balls and hyperbolic caps are the only compact spacelike hypersurfaces in the Minkowski space–time with constant higher order mean curvature and spherical boundary. In this appendix, we would like to emphasize the interest and usefulness of those integral formulas by extending those results in [1] to the case where two (non-necessarily constant) higher order mean curvatures are linearly related. Specifically, we will prove the following uniqueness result (recall that $H_0 = 1$ by definition).

[☆] Preceding paper.

* Corresponding author. Tel.: +34-968-364180; fax: +34-968-364182.

E-mail addresses: jaledo@pol-ab.uclm.es (J.A. Aledo), ljalias@um.es (L.J. Alías),

jmiguel@cce.ufes.br (J. Miguel Malacarne), jpastor@um.es (J.A. Pastor).

Theorem 1. *Let $x : M^n \rightarrow \mathbb{L}^{n+1}$ be a compact spacelike hypersurface in the Minkowski space–time with spherical boundary. Assume that for integers $0 \leq \ell < k \leq n$, the higher order mean curvatures H_ℓ and H_k are linearly related by $H_k = cH_\ell$, for a constant c . Then M is either a hyperplanar ball or a hyperbolic cap.*

As stated in its own title, this note should be read as an addendum to the paper [1]. For that reason, we will directly follow the notation and nomenclature in [1].

2. Proof of the theorem

Since $H_0 = 1$ by definition, the case $\ell = 0$ corresponds to Theorems 1 and 2 in [1], so that we may assume without loss of generality that $\ell \geq 1$ (and hence $k \geq 2$). Following the notation in Lemma 2 [1], let us assume that the boundary is contained in the hyperplane $\Pi = a^\perp$. Then, we know from the integral formula (22) in [1] that

$$\oint_{\partial M} h_{k-1} \langle v, a \rangle^k ds = n \int_M H_k \langle a, N \rangle dM = nc \int_M H_\ell \langle a, N \rangle dM,$$

and

$$\oint_{\partial M} h_{\ell-1} \langle v, a \rangle^\ell ds = n \int_M H_\ell \langle a, N \rangle dM,$$

that is,

$$\oint_{\partial M} h_{k-1} \langle v, a \rangle^k ds = c \oint_{\partial M} h_{\ell-1} \langle v, a \rangle^\ell ds. \tag{2.1}$$

Suppose now the boundary $\Sigma = x(\partial M)$ is a round sphere $\mathbb{S}^{n-1}(\rho)$ of radius $\rho > 0$. In that case, we have that $\tau_i = -1/\rho$ for every $i = 1, \dots, n - 1$, so that $h_{r-1} = (-1)^{r-1}/\rho^{r-1}$ for $r = 1, \dots, n - 1$. Besides, $\text{vol}(D) = \rho A_\rho/n$, where $A_\rho = \text{area}(\mathbb{S}^{n-1}(\rho))$. Therefore, Eq. (2.1) becomes

$$\oint_{\partial M} \langle v, a \rangle^k ds = (-1)^{k-\ell} c \rho^{k-\ell} \oint_{\partial M} \langle v, a \rangle^\ell ds. \tag{2.2}$$

We may assume without loss of generality that there exists at least an elliptic point $p_0 \in M$, where $H_r(p_0) > 0$ for every r . Otherwise, we know from Lemma 1 in [1] that the hypersurface is a hyperplanar ball. We claim that $H_k(p) > 0$ for every point $p \in M$. Indeed, observe that c must be a positive constant, because $c = H_k(p_0)/H_\ell(p_0) > 0$ at an elliptic point p_0 . Denote

$$U = \{p \in M : H_k(p) > 0\}.$$

It is clear that U is a non-empty open subset of M , since $p_0 \in U$. We will show that it is also closed. By Garding inequalities [2,3] (taking into account the sign convention in the definition of H_r [1]), we know that at each point $p \in U$

$$H_\ell^{k/\ell}(p) \geq H_k(p) = cH_\ell(p) > 0,$$

that is,

$$H_\ell(p) \geq c^{\ell/(k-\ell)} > 0,$$

at each point $p \in U$. This gives

$$H_k(p) = cH_\ell(p) \geq c^{k/(k-\ell)} > 0, \quad \text{for every } p \in U,$$

showing that $U = \{p \in M : H_k(p) \geq c^{k/(k-\ell)} > 0\}$ is also closed.

Therefore, $M = U$ and $H_k > 0$ on the whole M , as we claimed. Then Gårding inequalities imply that

$$H_1 \geq H_2^{1/2} \geq \dots \geq H_{k-1}^{1/(k-1)} \geq H_k^{1/k} > 0, \tag{2.3}$$

and

$$\frac{H_1}{H_0} \geq \frac{H_2}{H_1} \geq \dots \geq \frac{H_k}{H_{k-1}}, \tag{2.4}$$

hold on M , with equality at any stage only at umbilical points. Since $k \geq 2$, taking $r = 2$ in Eq. (16) in [1], we can conclude from the fact that $H_2 > 0$ on M that $\langle v, a \rangle$ cannot vanish at any boundary point $p \in \partial M$. Otherwise, we would obtain at that point that

$$0 < \binom{n}{2} H_2(p) = - \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \langle Av, e_i \rangle^2 \leq 0,$$

which is not possible. Besides, we also know from (22) in [1] that

$$\oint_{\partial M} \langle v, a \rangle ds = n \int_M H_1 \langle a, N \rangle dM. \tag{2.5}$$

Therefore, taking into account that $H_1 > 0$ and $\langle a, N \rangle < 0$ on M , it follows from here that $\langle v, a \rangle < 0$ on ∂M . This allows us to rewrite (2.2) as

$$\oint_{\partial M} |\langle v, a \rangle|^\ell ds = \frac{1}{c\rho^{k-\ell}} \oint_{\partial M} |\langle v, a \rangle|^k ds. \tag{2.6}$$

By the Holder inequality, we obtain from here that

$$\oint_{\partial M} |\langle v, a \rangle|^\ell ds \leq \left(\oint_{\partial M} |\langle v, a \rangle|^k ds \right)^{\ell/k} A_\rho^{(k-\ell)/k}$$

which jointly with (2.6) gives

$$\oint_{\partial M} |\langle v, a \rangle|^k ds \leq c^{k/(k-\ell)} \rho^k A_\rho. \tag{2.7}$$

Finally, by the Holder inequality we also get that

$$\oint_{\partial M} |\langle v, a \rangle| \, ds \leq \left(\oint_{\partial M} |\langle v, a \rangle|^k \, ds \right)^{1/k} A_\rho^{(k-1)/k},$$

which along with (2.7) implies the following inequality:

$$\left| \oint_{\partial M} \langle v, a \rangle \, ds \right| = \oint_{\partial M} |\langle v, a \rangle| \, ds \leq c^{1/(k-\ell)} \rho A_\rho. \tag{2.8}$$

This corresponds to inequality (25) in the proof of Theorem 1 in [1].

On the other hand, from (2.4), we deduce that

$$c = \frac{H_k}{H_\ell} \leq \frac{H_{k-\ell}}{H_{\ell-\ell}} = H_{k-\ell},$$

so that by (2.3) it follows that

$$H_1 \geq H_{k-\ell}^{1/(k-\ell)} \geq c^{1/(k-\ell)},$$

with equality only at umbilical points. Therefore, as in the proof of Theorem 1 in [1], we have

$$nH_1(-\langle a, N \rangle) \geq nc^{1/(k-\ell)}(-\langle a, N \rangle) > 0,$$

with equality if and only if M is totally umbilical. Then, integrating this inequality on M and using (2.5) (along with (14) in [1]), we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \oint_{\partial M} \langle v, a \rangle \, ds \right| &= \oint_{\partial M} |\langle v, a \rangle| \, ds = n \int_M H_1(-\langle a, N \rangle) \geq nc^{1/(k-\ell)} \int_M (-\langle a, N \rangle) \\ &= nc^{1/(k-\ell)} \text{vol}(D) = \rho c^{1/(k-\ell)} A_\rho, \end{aligned} \tag{2.9}$$

with equality if and only if M is totally umbilical. This corresponds to inequality (27) in [1]. Finally, by (2.8), we have the equality in (2.9) and then M must be umbilical. This finishes the proof of our result.

Acknowledgements

J.A. Aledo, L.J. Alías and J.A. Pastor were partially supported by DGICYT Grant no. PB97-0784-C03-02 and Fundación Séneca (PRIDTYC), Consejería de Educación y Cultura CARM. J.M. Malacarne was partially supported by PICD/CAPES, Brazil.

References

[1] L.J. Alías, J.M. Malacarne, Spacelike hypersurfaces with constant higher order mean curvature in the Minkowski space–time, *J. Geom. Phys.* 41 (4) (2002) 359–375.
 [2] L. Gårding, An inequality for hyperbolic polynomials, *J. Math. Mech.* 8 (1959) 957–965.
 [3] G. Hardy, J.E. Littlewood, G. Pólya, *Inequalities*, 2nd Edition, Cambridge Mathematical Library, Cambridge, 1989.